Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 3, 9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3 recites the limitation of "the removal from the holder takes place while retaining orientation". It is unclear as to what is retaining orientation to what.
- 4. Claim 9 recites the limitation of "a cut-off part retains its orientation". It is unclear as to what the cut-off part is retaining its orientation to.
- 5. Claim 15 recites the limitation of a second cutting element carrying out a cutting movement but does not recite the second element actually forming a cut. It is unclear as to if this second cutting element actually cuts or is just apart of the first cutting element.
- 6. Claim 16 recites the limitation of "the second cutting element is connected with a pair of parallel-arranged leaf springs". It is unclear as to what the second cutting element is connected to.
- 7. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the second cutting element" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the growing medium" in line 9 and "the cut-off cutting" in lines 9-10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meester US 2,167.
- 11. Regarding claim 1, Meester discloses an elongated holder with a longitudinal axis (Meester, Figure 1), a longitudinal opening (formed between 6 and 7) which closes along the longitudinal axis (Meester, column 1: lines 54- column 2: lines 1-3). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.
- 12. Regarding claim 2, Meester further discloses a second cutting plane (formed at (31, 32). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.
- 13. Regarding claim 6, Meester discloses an elongated holder with a longitudinal opening (formed between 6 and 7), and a first cutting element (6 or 7)
- 14. Regarding claim 7, Meester further discloses the apparatus comprising a transverse opening which is oriented transversely to the longitudinal axis (Meester, Figure 1).
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Meester further discloses a second cutting element (31, 31).
- 16. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Groves US 5,899,019.
- 17. Regarding claim 1, Groves discloses an elongated holder (18) with a longitudinal axis, a longitudinal opening (formed between 66a and 66b) which closes along the longitudinal axis (Groves, Figures 1 and 2). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.

Art Unit: 3643

18. Regarding claim 3, Groves further discloses the removal from the holder takes place while retaining orientation (as best understood) (Groves, Figures 9 and 10). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.

- 19. Regarding claim 6, Groves discloses an elongated holder with a longitudinal opening (formed between 66a and 66b), and a first cutting element (40a or 40b).
- 20. Regarding claim 7, Groves further discloses the apparatus compressing a transverse opening which is orientated transversely to the longitudinal axis (Groves, Figure 1).
- 21. Regarding claim 9, Groves further discloses the holder having a cross-section that in enclosed condition the cut-off part is clampingly enclosed, so that upon removal a cut-off part retains its orientation (as best understood) (Groves, Figures 9 and 10).
- 22. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akerson US 4,361,959.
- 23. Regarding claim 1, Akerson discloses an elongated holder (52) with a longitudinal axis, a longitudinal opening (Akerson, Figure 5)(formed between 55 and 63) which closes along the longitudinal axis (Akerson, column 2: lines 55-57). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.
- 24. Regarding claim 6, Akerson further discloses an elongated (52) holder with a longitudinal opening (formed between 55 and 63), and a first cutting element (55 or 60).
- 25. Claims 1, 5, 6, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Rombouts et al. US 2004/0118041.
- 26. Regarding claim 1, Rombouts discloses an elongated holder (in that the holder has length)(Rombouts, Figures 9 and 10b) with a longitudinal axis, a longitudinal opening (formed between 170 and 180), which closes along the longitudinal axis (Rombouts, ¶0042: lines 7-9). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed

Art Unit: 3643

27. Regarding claim 5, Rombouts further discloses a compressed air nozzle (182a, 182b). Given the structure, the method is inherently performed.

- 28. Regarding claim 6, Rombouts discloses an elongated holder (in that the holder has length)(Rombouts, Figures 9 and 10b) with a longitudinal opening (formed between 170 and 180), and a first cutting element (166).
- 29. Regarding claim 12, Rombouts further discloses an expelling element (182a, 182b).
- 30. Regarding claim 17, Rombouts discloses an automated apparatus comprising an image recognition means (Rombouts, ¶0004), a gripper (150), an apparatus according to claim 6 (see above), transport and manipulation means (Rombouts, ¶0003), control means (28), and manipulation means under control of the image recognition means (Rombouts, ¶0030).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 31. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 32. Claims 4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akerson US 4,361,959.
- 33. Regarding claim 4, Akerson further discloses rotating two sections (52, 61) which glide along each other along a rotation axis to form a cylinder (Akerson, column 2: lines 55-57). The sections of Akerson appear to be quarter rounds rather than half rounds; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sections of Akerson so that they were half rounds to create a sturdier device.

Art Unit: 3643

34. Regarding claim 10, Akerson further discloses the elongated holder comprising a first section (52), with the cutting element comprising a second (61) section. The sections of Akerson appear to be quarter rounds rather than half rounds; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sections of Akerson so that they were half rounds to create a sturdier device.

- 35. Regarding claim 11, Akerson further discloses the sections are arranged to carry out an axial movement relative to each other during the rotation (Akerson, column 2: lines 55-57).
- 36. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rombouts et al. US 2004/0118041.
- 37. Regarding claims 13 and 14, Rombouts discloses the device of claim 12 but does not disclose the expelling element having an outflow longitudinal opening. However, the expelling element having an outflow longitudinal opening oriented along the longitudinal axis of the holder would allow the expelling element to blow the cu-off part towards the floor, where it could be gathered and discarded) or towards an underlying conveyor belt, (which would carry the cut-off part away to be disposed of. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the expelling element of Rombouts so that the outflow opening was longitudinally arranged to provide predictable result of easing clean up of the device.
- 38. Regarding claim15, Rombouts further discloses the outflow longitudinal opening (184b) being provided in the second cutting element (As seen opposite 166 nest to 170 in Figure 10c. First cutting element 166 and the second cutting element form cutting mechanism 160).
- 39. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meester US 2,167,337.
- 40. Regarding claim 16, Meester further discloses a spring (8) connecting the second cutting element. Meester does not disclose the spring being a pair of parallel leaf springs. However, it

Art Unit: 3643

would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to

substitute parallel leaf springs for the springs of Meester.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kristen C. Hayes whose telephone number is 571-270-3093. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571)272-6891. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCH 6 June 2008 Peter Poon Examiner Art Unit 3643

/Peter M. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3643